



E1675
JACC March 12, 2013
Volume 61, Issue 10



TCT@ACC-i2: Invasive and Interventional Cardiology

LATE-ACQUIRED INCOMPLETE STENT APPPOSITION AFTER EVEROLIMUS-ELUTING STENT VERSUS SIROLIMUS-ELUTING STENT IMPLANTATION IN PATIENTS WITH ACUTE MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION (APPOSITION-AMI)

Moderated Poster Contributions

Poster Sessions, Expo North

Saturday, March 09, 2013, 10:00 a.m.-10:45 a.m.

Session Title: Coronary Stents

Abstract Category: 38. TCT@ACC-i2: Intravascular Imaging and Physiology

Presentation Number: 2101M-253

Authors: Seung-Ho Hur, Ji Hyun Sohn, Yun-Kyeong Cho, Hyuck-Jun Yoon, Hyungseop Kim, Chang-Wook Nam, Bong-Ryull Lee, Sang-Wook Kim, Young Joon Hong, Jang-Ho Bae, Donghoon Choi, Hyun-Jae Kang, So-Yeon Choi, Sang Gon Lee, Doo-Il Kim, Jong-Sun Park, Seung Woon Rha, Kwon-Bae Kim, Keimyung University Dongsan Hospital, Daegu, South Korea

Background: Incidence, mechanism and clinical outcomes of late-acquired incomplete stent apposition (LAISA) after first and second generation drug-eluting stent implantation in patients with acute myocardial infarction (AMI) remained controversial.

Methods: Late-Acquired incomplete stent apposition after everolimus-eluting stent versus sirolimus-eluting Stent Implantation in patients with Acute Myocardial Infarction (APPOSITION-AMI) study was a prospective, randomized study comparing of LAISA after everolimus-eluting stent (EES) and sirolimus-eluting stent (SES) in patients with AMI. An intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) examination was serially performed at post-procedure and follow-up (8 to 9 months) in 195 AMI patients with 205 native coronary lesions (100 EES, 105 SES). After detection of LAISA, a cumulative incidence of 1 year major adverse cardiac events (MACE) including cardiac death, myocardial infarction, and target lesion revascularization and stent thrombosis (ST) was evaluated in patients with and without LAISA.

Results: LAISA was observed in 6.0(6/100)% EES- versus 16.2(17/105)% SES-treated lesions ($P=0.021$). In SES-treated lesions, LAISA was caused by positive remodeling in 64.7%, whereas thrombus/plaque dissolution was observed in 66.7% of EES-treated lesions. The MACE was developed only one patient in SES (4.5%) and there was no ST in both stents at 1 year in patients with LAISA. The use of SES (OR 3.19, CI 1.18-8.57, $p=0.02$) and left ventricular ejection fraction $<50\%$ (OR 2.82, CI 1.15-6.91, $p=0.02$) were independent predictors of LAISA.

Conclusion: The incidence of LAISA was lower in AMI patients treated with EES compared to SES. LAISA was likely to occur by positive remodeling in SES-treated lesions but may not be in EES-treated lesions. In patients with LAISA, both stents showed a very low incidence of MACE at 1 year.